



Agenda

March 13, 2014 • Tokyo, Japan

Time	Topic	Speaker
1:30 pm – 2:00 pm	Registration	
2:00 pm – 2:15 pm	Opening remarks and introduction of speakers	Etsuo Doi
2:15 pm – 3:00 pm	<p>Session 1</p> <p>Drafting and responding to an IPR petition: Advice for preparing a successful petition and accompanying evidence</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The ultimate success of IPR largely depends on the evidence presented with the initial petition. This presentation will discuss the requirements for drafting a successful IPR petition. It will cover tips for avoiding formal objections frequently issued by the PTO and for strategic use of IPR in connection with a copending litigation. 	George C. Beck George E. Quillin
3:00 pm – 3:45 pm	<p>Session 2</p> <p>Motions to amend the claims: Strategies for when and how to amend claims in an IPR, and the heavy burden placed on the Patent Owner</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - According to the rules, the Patent Owner has the opportunity to amend the claims during an IPR in order to avoid the prior art. The presentation will cover the Motion to Amend from the perspective of both the Patent Owner and the Petitioner and will describe the challenges facing the Patent Owner relating to a Motion to Amend. Several decisions issued by the Board in the first post grant proceedings will be discussed. 	Howard N. Shipley Michael R. Houston
3:45 pm – 4:10 pm	Coffee Break	
4:10 pm – 4:55 pm	<p>Session 3</p> <p>What to expect at the oral hearing – differences between the PTAB and other tribunals</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - An IPR proceeding has a single oral hearing for the parties to present their arguments to the Board. The presentation will address the conduct of the oral hearing including how arguments and exhibits are presented. The presentation will address what to 	Howard N. Shipley George E. Quillin



	expect from the Board and best practices for preparing and presenting arguments to the Board.	
4:55 pm – 5:40 pm	<p>Session 4</p> <p>Managing parallel patent litigation and IPRs: avoiding pitfalls and leveraging assets</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Parallel litigation and IPR proceedings present opportunities and challenges. The petitioner and patent owner must be alert to take advantage of developments in the IPR or litigation that can benefit their position in the parallel proceeding. The parallel proceedings also present challenges as to how to select arguments and evidence that will be persuasive, recognizing that IPR judges with technical backgrounds may react differently than a federal district court judge with no technical background. Timing is critical because discovery may be very limited in IPR, so that filing IPR later into a litigation may provide more evidence that would not otherwise be discovered through IPR discovery.	Stephen B. Maebius Andrew S. Baluch
5:40 pm – 5:50 pm	Closing comments	Etsuo Doi
5:50 pm – 7:30 pm	Reception	