

Legal News Alert is part of our ongoing commitment to providing up-to-the minute information about pressing concerns or industry issues affecting our health care clients and colleagues.

If you have any questions about this alert or would like to discuss this topic further, please contact your Foley attorney or any of the following individuals:

**Heidi A. Sorensen**  
Washington, D.C.  
202.672.5596  
hsorensen@foley.com

**Daniel S. Reinberg**  
Chicago, Illinois  
312.832.5167  
dreinberg@foley.com

**Cheryl L. Wagonhurst**  
Los Angeles, California  
213.972.4681  
cwagonhurst@foley.com

**Judith A. Waltz**  
San Francisco, California  
415.438.6412  
jwaltz@foley.com

## The OIG Releases New Open Letter on Self-Disclosure Protocol

On April 15, 2008, the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) for the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) released an Open Letter to Health Care Providers (Open Letter) addressing refinements and clarifications to the OIG's Provider Self-Disclosure Protocol (Protocol). The Open Letter is of vital importance to any provider or supplier who may consider utilizing the Protocol. It announced that the OIG is adopting a presumption in favor of not requiring providers or suppliers to enter into a Corporate Integrity Agreement (CIA) as part of the resolution of matters disclosed under the Protocol.

The OIG also provided new information that providers and suppliers must include in their initial submission, in addition to the basic information already required by the Protocol.

The Open Letter also addresses a number of additional refinements and clarifications to the OIG's policies that the OIG believes will increase the efficiency of the Protocol and benefit providers and suppliers who choose to self-disclose.

Since its release in 1998, the OIG's Protocol has provided an avenue for health care providers and suppliers to report identified misconduct proactively. Assuming the written disclosure is accepted by the OIG as sufficient to meet the requirements of the Protocol, the process allows resolution with the OIG, usually by means of a monetary settlement and often the accompaniment of a CIA. Downsides of the Protocol have included concerns by providers and suppliers about the extent of information required to secure acceptance under the Protocol, the cautionary advice by the OIG that the matter may not end with the disclosure but may require referral to or involvement with U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ),

and an aversion by providers and suppliers to incur the costs and other burdens associated with a CIA.

Inspector General Daniel R. Levinson, like his predecessors, has placed the Protocol clearly at the center of the OIG's compliance and fraud enforcement activities. As reported last week by the OIG's Office of Counsel, statistics on the Protocol from its origin in 1998, to date, reflect 379 disclosures accepted into the Protocol, with 165 resolved with monetary settlements totaling \$118 million. There were 53 disclosures submitted during calendar year 2007. It must be underscored that the OIG does not accept all self-disclosures for processing under the Protocol. Among other factors, the OIG must be convinced that the disclosure is offered in good faith and the OIG generally will not accept a matter for the Protocol already under investigation by the government.

Speaking to the Health Care Compliance Association on April 15, 2008, Inspector General Levinson announced the release of the Open Letter, which contains two significant changes in his office's policies toward self-disclosing providers.

## **Presumption That CIA Will Not Be Required for Those Accepted Into the Protocol**

Most importantly, the OIG is adopting a presumption in favor of *not* requiring a CIA (or even the somewhat less burdensome Certification of Compliance Agreement) as part of the resolution of matters disclosed under the Protocol. There are, however, some prerequisite conditions that must not be overlooked: (1) submission of a "complete and informative disclosure"; (2) "quick" responses to the OIG's information requests; and (3) performance by the self-disclosing party of an "accurate" audit or self-assessment as required by the Protocol. These conditions are all indications, according to the OIG, of an effective compliance program.

The general purpose of a CIA, which represents a waiver of the OIG's discretionary authority to exclude a provider or supplier from

future participation in federal health care programs, is to reduce the risks to those programs of future misconduct by the provider or supplier. By not requiring a CIA, the OIG has indicated its confidence that a self-disclosing provider or supplier is not likely to pose a future risk to the federal health care programs (or at least no more of a risk than providers and suppliers who do not have a known history of misconduct). It is not clear from the Open Letter whether providers and suppliers who disclose misconduct outside the Protocol (e.g., to the DOJ or a state Medicaid Fraud Control Unit) would be able to avail themselves to this presumption against a CIA, which may have the result of making the Protocol a more attractive option for achieving disclosure than other available options.

The 2008 Open Letter builds on the OIG's past policies of providing concessions on integrity obligations for self-disclosing providers, following earlier Open Letters addressing the Protocol issued in 2000, 2001, and 2006. The 2000 Open Letter first suggested that the OIG might "be more flexible in considering the terms of a CIA" or "not even require a CIA" for self-disclosing providers. The 2001 Open Letter indicated that self-disclosure would be the first factor the OIG would consider in determining whether to release the OIG's administrative exclusion authorities without a CIA. In 2006, Inspector General Levinson himself announced that CIAs had been required in only 27 of the 136 self-disclosures that had been settled to date, apparently seeking to lessen the fears in the health care community associated with use of the Protocol.

The OIG specifically examined the issue of CIAs for self-disclosing providers and suppliers when it issued a white paper in May 2001, *Self-Disclosure of Provider Misconduct: Assessment of CIA Modifications*. At that time, the OIG concluded that "significant and appropriate [CIA] modifications" resulted from self-disclosure

submissions. Through case vignettes, this paper described the types of concessions that had resulted from self-disclosure, including reducing CIA terms from the typical five-year time period to three years, and reducing (or eliminating) the role of the independent review organization (IRO) in performing compliance audits under CIAs. In addition, in some situations, the OIG had conformed the requirements of the CIA to the provider's or supplier's existing compliance programs, thereby lessening the burden for those who self-disclose. In short, self-disclosure results in significant advantages to the provider or supplier given the options available to the OIG.

### **Additional Information Required for Protocol Disclosures**

The second major part of the Open Letter is the announcement of additional information that will be required in order to self-disclose under the Protocol.

In the past, the Protocol offered two avenues for self-disclosure. First, a provider or supplier could disclose *at the beginning* of its own internal investigation by including only certain "basic information" in its submission. This basic information was limited to the name, address, identification number, and tax identification number(s) of the disclosing provider or supplier; whether the provider or supplier was aware if the matter was already under government investigation; a description of the matter being disclosed; the type of provider or supplier; the federal health care programs affected; the reasons why the provider or supplier believes that a violation of federal law may have occurred; and a certification that the submission contains truthful information and is made in good faith. Second, the provider or supplier also had the option of disclosing *after* it had completed its internal investigation and self-assessment by submitting more detailed information as part of its submission.

Under the 2008 Open Letter, the OIG will no longer permit providers or suppliers to submit bare-bones disclosures limited to the basic information. All submissions will now need to include a complete description of the conduct being disclosed; a description of the internal investigation or a commitment regarding when the investigation will be completed; an estimate of the federal health care program's damages or a commitment regarding when that estimate will be completed; and a statement of the laws potentially violated. The OIG also will require the completion of the internal investigation and self-assessment within three months after acceptance into the Protocol. This heightened requirement appears to be driven by the OIG's concerns about disclosures not being made in good faith or not containing enough information for the OIG to determine whether to admit a provider or supplier to the Protocol.

### **Remaining Cautions for Disclosure Under the Protocol**

Although the Protocol may offer significant comfort given possible alternatives, the decision whether to self-disclose (and where — to the OIG, the Medicare contractor, Medicaid, or the DOJ) has always been a decision that has required careful consideration, hopefully with input from legal counsel. The primary concerns with the Protocol have been the lack of significant incentives to those self-disclosing, inconsistent or disparate treatment of self-disclosers, and the time often required to resolve a self-disclosure with the OIG. It also should be noted that recent positions taken by the DOJ suggest that the Protocol may not be viewed as a "public disclosure" that would bar a qui tam recovery under the False Claims Act. Although the 2008 Open Letter offers some favorable prospects to providers and suppliers to encourage use of the Protocol,

## ABOUT FOLEY

Foley & Lardner LLP is a national law firm providing comprehensive legal services for innovative enterprises in the health care, pharmaceutical, biotechnology, and biomedical sectors. Our Health Care attorneys provide counsel on financial transactions, mergers, acquisitions, affiliations, joint ventures, regulatory and government compliance, and business operations. With offices throughout the United States and the backing of Foley's Health Care Industry Team — consistently ranked as one of the top health care law firms nationally and regionally by *Chambers USA* — Foley is well-positioned to serve the wide-ranging needs of health care entities across the country.

## Foley.com

*Foley & Lardner LLP Legal News Alert is intended to provide information (not advice) about important new legislation or legal developments. The great number of legal developments does not permit the issuing of an update for each one, nor does it allow the issuing of a follow-up on all subsequent developments.*

*If you do not want to receive further Legal News Alert bulletins, please e-mail [info@foley.com](mailto:info@foley.com) or contact Marketing at Foley & Lardner LLP, 321 N. Clark Street, Suite 2800, Chicago, IL 60610 or 312.832.4500.*

caution (and legal counsel) is still advised with respect to all disclosures of misconduct to avoid prejudicing future options.

## Conclusion

The OIG has offered a significant new incentive for the health care industry to consider in determining whether or not to use the Protocol by offering a presumption that a CIA will not be required. The OIG also has renewed its promise to expedite resolution of Protocol matters. In exchange, the OIG has strengthened its requirements for Protocol submissions. Only time and experience will reveal how successful these changes will be in encouraging disclosure. The OIG's Protocol and the white paper on *Self-Disclosure of Provider Misconduct: Assessment of CIA Modifications* are available at <http://www.oig.hhs.gov/fraud/selfdisclosure.html>. The 2008 Open Letter and prior Open Letters are available at <http://www.oig.hhs.gov/fraud/openletters.html>.